Case 6:12-cv-00027-LED-JDL Document 35 Filed 09/07/12 Page 1 of 6 PageID #: 282

Case 6:12-cv-00027-LED-JDL Document 29-2 Filed 09/05/12 Page 2 of 7 PageID #: 226

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

MERCK & CIE, SOUTH ALABAMA MEDICAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION and PAMLAB L.L.C.

Civil Action No. 6:12-cv-0027

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiffs,

-vs.-

MACOVEN PHARMACEUTICALS, GNOSIS S.P.A. GNOSIS USA INC. and GNOSIS BIORESEARCH S.A.,

Defendants.

DISCOVERY ORDER

After review of the pleaded claims and defenses in this action and in furtherance of the management of the Court's docket under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, the Court enters the following Discovery Order:

- 1. **Disclosures.** No later than September 24, 2012 and without awaiting a discovery request, each party shall disclose to every other party the following information:
 - A. the correct names of the parties to the lawsuit;
 - B the name, address, and telephone number of any potential parties;
 - C. the legal theories and, in general, the factual bases of the disclosing party's claims or defenses (the disclosing party need not marshal all evidence that may be offered at trial);
 - D. the name, address, and telephone number of persons having knowledge of relevant facts, a brief statement of each identified person's connection with the case, and a brief, fair summary of the substance of the information known by such person;
 - E. any indemnity and insuring agreements under which any person or entity may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment entered in this action or to indemnify or

Case 6:12-cv-00027-LED-JDL Document 35 Filed 09/07/12 Page 2 of 6 PageID #: 283

Case 6:12-cv-00027-LED-JDL Document 29-2 Filed 09/05/12 Page 3 of 7 PageID #: 227

- reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment;
- F. any settlement agreements relevant to the subject matter of this action;
- G. any statement of any party to the litigation;
- 2. Additional Disclosures. Each party shall provide to every other party the following information:
 - A. the disclosures required by the Court's Patent Rules in accordance with the deadlines set forth in said rules and the Court's Docket Control Order; and
 - B. to the extent that any party pleads a claim for relief or defensive matter other than those addressed in the Patent Rules¹, by December 6, 2012 and without awaiting a discovery request, a copy of all documents, data compilations and tangible things in the possession, custody, or control of the party that are relevant to those additionally pleaded claims or defenses involved in this action. By written agreement of all parties, alternative forms of disclosure may be provided in lieu of paper copies. For example, the parties may agree to exchange images of documents electronically or by means of computer disk; or the parties may agree to review and copy disclosure materials at the offices of the attorneys representing the parties instead of requiring each side to furnish paper copies of the disclosure materials.
 - C. no later than December 6, 2012 a complete computation of any category of damages claimed by any party to the action, making available for inspection and copying (See Local Rule CV-34), the documents or other evidentiary materials on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered; and those documents and authorizations described in Local Rule CV-34.
- 3. **Testifying Experts.** Each side is limited to five (5) testifying expert witnesses. By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, each party shall disclose to the other party or parties:
 - A. The expert's name, address, and telephone number;
 - B. The subject matter on which the expert will testify;
 - C. A report as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B);
 - D. If the expert is not retained by, employed by, or otherwise subject to the control of the disclosing party, documents reflecting the general substance of the expert's mental impressions and opinions;
 - E. If the expert is retained by, employed by, or otherwise subject to the control of the disclosing party:
 - (1) all documents, tangible things, reports, models, or data compilations that

¹ The Patent Rules are Appendix M to the Local Rules which are available on the Court's website at www.txed.uscourts.gov.

- have been provided to, reviewed by, or prepared by or for the expert in anticipation of the expert's testimony;
- (2) the expert's current resume and bibliography; and
- (3) The parties shall be excused from furnishing an expert report of treating physicians unless reasonably requested to do so by another party.
- 4. **Discovery Limitations.** Discovery is limited in this cause to the disclosures described in Paragraphs 1 3 together with the following limitations:

A. Written Discovery

- 1. Plaintiffs may serve up to ten (10) common interrogatories stated identically to all Defendants and fifteen (15) interrogatories stated individually to each individual Defendant or Defendant Group. Defendant Group means a group of related corporate defendants that share a common parent and/or have parent/subsidiary relationships.² Defendants may collectively serve up to ten (10) common interrogatories stated identically on Plaintiffs and each individual Defendant or Defendant Group may serve up to (15) additional interrogatories on Plaintiffs. An interrogatory directed to more than one patent in suit or more than one accused product shall count as a single interrogatory.
- 2. Plaintiffs may serve up to fifteen (15) common requests for admission stated identically to all Defendants and twenty-five (25) requests for admission stated individually to each individual Defendant or Defendant Group. Defendants may collectively serve up to fifteen (15) common requests for admission stated identically on Plaintiffs and each individual Defendant or Defendant Group may serve up to twenty-five (25) additional requests for admission on Plaintiffs. Notwithstanding the foregoing, there shall be no limitations on the number of requests for admission any party may use solely for the purpose of authentication of evidence.

B. Fact Depositions of Parties and Non-Parties

- 1. The total number of non-expert deposition hours taken by Plaintiffs, including Rule 30(b)(6) depositions, third-party depositions, and other individual depositions, shall not exceed 120 hours.
- 2. The total number of non-expert deposition hours taken by Defendants, individually and collectively, including Rule 30(b)(6) depositions, third-party depositions, and other depositions, shall not exceed 120 hours. If Plaintiffs produce a witness for a Rule 30(b)(1) deposition for one Defendant, it is not required to produce that witness again for a 30(b)(1) deposition for any other Defendant.

² Specifically, Gnosis S.P.A., Gnosis USA Inc., and Gnosis Bioresearch S.A. is make up a Defendant Group.

C. Expert Discovery

The parties agree to meet and confer within three (3) days of serving rebuttal expert reports to determine the amount of time needed for expert depositions.

- Frivileged Information. There is no duty to disclose privileged documents or information. However, the parties are directed to meet and confer concerning privileged documents or information after the Scheduling Conference. By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, the parties shall exchange privilege logs identifying the documents or information and the basis for any disputed claim of privilege in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, with enable the other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection. A party may move the Court for an order compelling the production of any privileged documents or information identified on any other party's privilege log. If such a motion is made, the party asserting privilege shall file with the Court within thirty (30) days of the filing of the motion to compel any proof in the form of declarations or affidavits to support their assertions of privilege, along with the documents over which privilege is asserted for in camera inspection. If the parties have no disputes concerning privileged documents or information, then the parties shall file a notice so stating by the date provided in the Docket Control Order.
- 6. Pre-trial Disclosures. By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, each party shall provide to every other party the following disclosures regarding the evidence that the disclosing party intends to present at trial:
 - A. The name and, if not previously provided, the address and telephone number, of each witness, separately identifying those whom the party expects to present at trial and those whom the party may call if the need arises.
 - B. The designation of those witnesses whose testimony is expected to be presented by means of a deposition and, if not taken stenographically, a transcript of the pertinent portions of the deposition testimony.
 - C. An appropriate identification of each document or other exhibit, including summaries of other evidence, separately identifying those which the party expects to offer and those which the party may offer if the need arises.

By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, a party may serve and file a list disclosing (1) any objections to the use under Rule 32(a) of a deposition designated by another party under subparagraph "B." above; and (2) any objections, together with the grounds therefor, that may be made to the admissibility of materials identified under subparagraph "C." above. Objections not so disclosed, other than objections under Rules 402 and 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, shall be deemed waived unless excused by the Court for good cause shown.

- 7. Signature. The disclosures required by this order shall be made in writing and signed by the party or counsel and shall constitute a certification that, to the best of the signer's knowledge, information and belief, such disclosure is complete and correct as of the time it is made.
- 8. Notification of the Court. The parties shall promptly file a notice with the Court that the disclosures required under this Order have taken place.
- 9. Duty to Supplement. After disclosure is made pursuant to this order, each party is under a duty to supplement or correct its disclosures immediately if the party obtains information on the basis of which it knows that the information disclosed was either incomplete or incorrect when made, or is no longer complete or true.
- 10. Requests for Production. Because documents relevant to any claim or defense are to be produced pursuant to the Patent Rules and paragraphs one and two of this Order, requests for production are unnecessary. However, should a party believe that certain relevant documents have not been produced, that party may request said documents by letter. The Court will entertain a motion to compel documents without the necessity of a movant propounding formal requests for production.
- 11. Discovery Conferences. Within 72 hours of the Court setting any discovery motion for hearing, each party's lead trial counsel and local counsel shall meet and confer in person or by telephone in an effort to resolve the dispute without Court intervention. Counsel shall promptly notify the Court of the results of the meeting. Attendance by proxy is not permitted. Unless excused by the Court, lead counsel shall attend any discovery hearing set by the Court.
- 12. No Excuses. A party is not excused from the requirements of this Discovery Order because it has not fully completed its investigation of the case, or because it challenges the sufficiency of another party's disclosures, or because another party has not made its disclosures. Absent court order to the contrary, a party is not excused from disclosure because there are pending motions to dismiss, to remand or to change venue. Parties asserting the defense of qualified immunity may submit a motion to limit disclosure to those materials necessary to decide the issue of qualified immunity.
- 13. Protective Orders. A party may request that the Court issue the Court's Standard Protective Order. However, a party may propose the issuance of or move to modify the terms of the Protective Order for good cause. The Court authorizes the parties to file any document that is subject to a protective order under seal.
- 14. Hearing Notebooks. With the exception of *Markman* notebooks required in the Docket Control Order, hearing notebooks are no longer required or requested. However, the Court may request hearing notebooks in specific instances.
- 15. Electronic Discovery and Production. The parties are to meet and confer to agree on any electronic discovery and production issues. The parties shall then submit an agreed ESI order

Case 6:12-cv-00027-LED-JDL Document 29-2 Filed 09/05/12 Page 7 of 7 PageID #: 231

by the deadline set forth in the Scheduling Order. Should the parties disagree on any issues, the parties shall submit competing proposals to the Court pursuant to the deadline outlined in the Order setting a status/scheduling conference. The Court may consider said issues on the papers, schedule a telephone conference to assist in resolving the dispute, or address any arguments during the status/scheduling conference. Parties should refer to Appendix P of the Local Rules (Model Order Regarding E-Discovery in Patent Cases) as a starting point.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 7th day of September, 2012.

JOHN D. LOVE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE